Hurrian language

Hurrian is an extinct <u>Hurro-Urartian language</u> spoken by the <u>Hurrians</u> (Khurrites), a people who entered northern <u>Mesopotamia</u> around 2300 BC and had mostly vanished by 1000 BC. Hurrian was the language of the <u>Mitanni</u> kingdom in northern Mesopotamia and was likely spoken at least initially in Hurrian settlements in modern-day <u>Syria</u>. It is generally believed that the speakers of this language originally came from the <u>Armenian Highlands</u> and spread over southeast <u>Anatolia</u> and northern <u>Mesopotamia</u> at the beginning of the <u>2nd millennium BC. [2]</u>

Contents Classification History **Dialects** Phonology Consonants Vowels Grammar Word derivation Morphology Nominal morphology Case and number The article Suffixaufnahme Verbal morphology Indicative mood Other moods Examples of finite verb forms Infinitive verb forms Pronouns Personal pronouns Possessive pronouns Other pronouns Adpositions Conjunctions and adverbs **Enclitic particles** Numbers Syntax Vocabulary Sample text **Hurrian literature** See also References

Hurrian						
Native to	Mitanni					
Region	Mesopotamia					
Era	attested 2300–1000 BC					
Language	Hurro-Urartian					
family	Hurrian					
Lang	uage codes					
ISO 639-3	xhu					
Linguist List	xhu (http://multit					
	ree.org/codes/xhu)					
Glottolog	hurr1240 (http://g					
	lottolog.org/resou					
	rce/languoid/id/hu rr1240) ^[1]					
	111240),					

Classification

Further reading External links

Hurrian is closely related to <u>Urartian</u>, the language of the ancient kingdom of <u>Urartu</u>. Together they constitute the <u>Hurro-Urartian language family</u>. The external connections of the Hurro-Urartian languages are disputed. There exist various proposals for a <u>genetic relationship to other language families</u> (e.g. the <u>Northeast Caucasian languages</u>, <u>Indo-European languages</u>, or <u>Kartvelian languages</u> (languages of <u>Georgia</u>). It has also been speculated that it is related to "Sino-Caucasian". [3] However, none of these proposals are generally accepted. [4]

History

The earliest Hurrian text fragments consist of lists of names and places from the end of the third millennium BC. The first full texts date to the reign of king <u>Tishatal</u> of <u>Urkesh</u>, at the start of the second millennium BC, and were found on a stone tablet accompanying the <u>Hurrian foundation pegs</u> known as the "Urkish lions." Archeologists have discovered the texts of numerous spells, incantations, prophecies and letters at sites including <u>Hattusha</u>, <u>Mari</u>, <u>Tuttul</u>, <u>Babylon</u>, <u>Ugarit</u> and others. Early study of the language, however, was entirely based on the <u>Mitanni letter</u>, found in 1887 at <u>Amarna</u> in Egypt, written by the Hurrian king <u>Tushratta</u> to the pharaoh <u>Amenhotep III</u>. The Hurro-Urartian relation was recognized as early as 1890 by Sayce (ZA 5, 1890, 260-274) and Jensen (ZA 6, 1891, 34-72).

In the thirteenth century BC, invasions from the west by the Hittites and the south by the <u>Assyrians</u> brought the end of the Mitanni empire, which was divided between the two conquering powers. In the following century, attacks by the <u>Sea Peoples</u> brought a swift end to the last vestiges of the Hurrian language. It is around this time that other languages, such as the <u>Hittite language</u> and the <u>Ugaritic language</u> also became extinct, in what is known as the <u>Bronze Age collapse</u>. In



The Louvre lion and accompanying stone tablet bearing the earliest known text in Hurrian

the texts of these languages, as well as those of <u>Akkadian</u> or Urartian, many Hurrian names and places can be found.

Renewed interest in Hurrian was triggered by texts discovered in $\underline{\text{Bo}\S{a}\text{z}k\"{o}y}$ in the 1910s and Ugarit in the 1930s. Speiser (1941) published the first comprehensive grammar of Hurrian. Since the 1980s, the $\underline{\text{Nuzi}}$ corpus from the archive of Silwa-tessup has been edited by $\underline{\text{G}}$. Wilhelm. Since the late 1980s, significant progress was made due to the discovery of a Hurrian-Hittite bilingual, edited by E. Neu (StBoT 32).

Dialects

The Hurrian of the Mitanni letter differs significantly from that used in the texts at Hattusha and other Hittite centres, as well as from earlier Hurrian texts from various locations. The non-Mitanni letter varieties, while not entirely homogeneous, are

commonly subsumed under the designation $Old\ Hurrian$. Whereas in Mitanni the vowel pairs i/e and u/o are differentiated, in the Hattusha dialect they have merged into i and u respectively. There are also differences in morphology, some of which are mentioned in the course of the exposition below. Nonetheless, it is clear that these represent $\underline{\text{dialects}}$ of one language. Another Hurrian dialect is likely represented in several texts from Ugarit, but they are so poorly preserved that little can be said about them, save that spelling patterns used elsewhere to represent Hurrian phonemes are virtually ignored in them. There was also a Hurrian-Akkadian creole, called $\underline{\text{Nuzi}}$, spoken in the Mitanni provincial capital of Arrapha.



Foundation tablet. Dedication to God Nergal by Hurrian king Atalshen, king of Urkish and Nawar, Habur Bassin, circa 2000 BC. Louvre Museum AO 5678. "Of Nergal the lord of Hawalum, Atal-shen, the caring shepherd, the king of Urkesh and Nawar, the son of Sadar-mat the king, is the builder of the temple of Nergal, the one who overcomes opposition. Let Shamash and Ishtar destroy the seeds of whoever removes this tablet. Shaum-shen is the craftsman." [6]

Phonology

Consonants

Consonant phonemes of Hurrian

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar
Nasal	m	n		
Plosive	р	t		k
Affricate		(ts)		
Fricative	f	S		х
Approximant	w		j	
Rhotic		r		
Lateral		I		

Since /f/ was not found in the Sumerian cuneiform script, the Hurrians used the symbols representing /p/, /b/ or /w/. An /f/ can be recognised in words where this transcription varies from text to text. In cases where a word occurs only once, with a p, it cannot be known if it was originally meant to represent a /p/ or an /f/. In final syllables containing a, /f/ becomes diphthongised to /u/, e.g. $t\bar{a}n\bar{o}\bar{s}au$ (<* $t\bar{a}n-\bar{o}\bar{s}-af$)] "I did". /s/ is traditionally transcribed by /s/, because the cuneiform script adapted the sign indicating /s/ for this phoneme. /ts/ is regularly transcribed by /s, and /x/ by /p0 or /s1. In Hurrian, /s7 and /s8 do not occur at the beginning of a word.

Vowels

	Front	Central	Back
Close	i		u
Mid	е		0
Open		a	

Vowels, just like consonants, can be either long or short. In the cuneiform script, this is indicated by placing an additional vowel symbol between the CV and VC syllables, giving CV-V-V. Short vowels are indicated by a simple CV-V pairing. In the Latin transcription, long vowels are indicated with a macron, \bar{a} , \bar{e} , \bar{i} , \bar{o} , and \bar{u} . For /o/, which is absent in the Sumerian script, the sign for U is used, whereas /u/ is represented by \hat{U} .

Grammar

Word derivation

While Hurrian could not combine multiple stems to form new stems, a large number of <u>suffixes</u> could be attached to existing stems to form new words. For example, *attardi* (ancestor) from *attai* (father), *futki* (son) from *fut* (to beget), *aštohhe* (feminine) from *ašti* (woman). Hurrian also provided many verbal suffixes, which often changed the <u>valency</u> of the verb they modify.

Morphology

Nominal morphology

The nominal morphology of Hurrian employs numerous suffixes and/or enclitics, which always follow a certain order. The resulting "morpheme chain" is as follows: $^{[8][9]}$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Root	Derivational Suffixes	Article	Possessive Pronoun Clitics	Plural	Case	Anaphoric	Plural (SA)	Case (SA)	Absolutive Pronoun Clitics	Enclitic Particles & Conjunctions

Note: (SA) indicates morphemes added through Suffixaufnahme, described below.

These elements are not all obligatory, and in fact a noun can occur as a single root followed by nothing except zero-suffixes for case and number. Despite the general agglutinative structure of the language, the plural marker (5) merges with the case morphemes (6) in ways which do not seem to be entirely predictable, so singular and plural forms of the case endings are usually listed separately. The anaphoric marker (7) is formally identical to the article and anchors the Suffixaufnahme suffixes (8) and (9). While the absolutive pronoun clitics (10) attached to a noun are not necessarily connected to it syntactically, typically designating the object or intransitive subject of a nearby verb, the third plural pronoun clitic *-lla* can be used to signal the plural of the host noun in the absolutive.

Case and number

All Hurrian <u>nouns</u> end in a vowel. Most end in /i/; a very few end in /a/ (words for relatives and divine names) and /e/ (a few suffix derivations). This stem-final vowel disappears when certain endings are attached to it, such as case endings that begin with a vowel, or the <u>article</u> suffix. Examples: $k\bar{a}z-\bar{o}s$ (like a cup) from $k\bar{a}zi$ (cup), awarra (the fields) from awari (field). Hurrian has 13 <u>cases</u> in its system of declension. One of these, the <u>equative case</u>, has a different form in both of the main dialects. In Hattusha and Mari, the usual ending is -os, termed equative I, whereas in the Mitanni letter we find the form -nna, called equative II. Another case, the so-called 'e-case', is very rare, and carries a genitive or allative meaning.

Like many languages in the region, Hurrian is an <u>ergative</u> language, which means that the same case is used for the <u>subject</u> of an <u>intransitive verb</u> as for the <u>object</u> of a transitive one; this case is called the <u>absolutive</u>. For the subject of a transitive verb, however, the <u>ergative case</u> is used. Hurrian has two numbers, singular and plural. The following table outlines the case endings (the terms used for some of the more obscure cases vary between different authors).

Case	Singular	Plural
Absolutive	-Ø	-Ø, -lla
Ergative	-š	-(a)šuš
Genitive	-fe, -we	-(a)še
Dative	-fa, -wa	-(a)ša
Essive ^{[10][11]} (in, at)	-a	-(a)ša, -a
Allative (to)	-ta	-(a)šta
Ablative (from)	-tan	-(a)štan
Instrumental (with)	-ae	not found
Ablative-Instrumental (through/by)	-n(i), -ne	-(a)šani, -(a)šane
Comitative (together with)	-ra	-(a)šura
Associative (as)	-nn(i)	not found (often extrapolated -(a)šunn(i))
Equative I (like)	-ōš	not found
Equative II	-nna	-(a)šunna
'e-Case'	-ē	not found

In certain phonological environments, these endings can vary. The f of the genitive and dative endings merges with a preceding p or t giving pp and tt respectively, e.g. Tessupp (of Tessupp), Tessupp (brother-Tessupp), Tessupp (brother-Tessupp),

The so-called <u>essive case</u> can convey the meaning "as" and a condition, but also to express direction, the aim of a demand, the transition from one condition to another, the <u>direct object</u> in <u>antipassive</u> constructions (where the transitive subject receives the absolutive case instead of the ergative), and, in the variety of <u>Nuzi</u>, also the dative. [11]

The article

Case	Singular	Plural
Absolutive	-Ø	200
all other cases	-ne	-na

In Hurrian, the function of the so-called "article" is not entirely clear, inasmuch as its use does not seem to resemble closely a typical <u>definite article</u>. [12] It is attached directly to the noun, but before any case endings, e.g. $tiw\bar{e}$ -na- $\bar{s}e$ (object.art.gen.pl) (of the objects). The article is unmarked in the absolutive singular – e.g. $k\bar{a}zi$ 'cup'. The /n/ of the article merges with a preceding /n/, /l/ or /r/ giving /nn/, /ll/ and /rr/ respectively, e.g. $\bar{e}n$ -na (the gods), $\bar{o}l$ -la (the others), awar-ra (the fields). In these cases, the stem-final vowel /i/ has been dropped; the singulars of these words are $\bar{e}ni$ (god), $\bar{o}li$ (another), awari (field). If there are two consonants preceding the final /i/, an epenthetic vowel /u/ is inserted between them, e.g. hafurun-ne-ta (heaven-art-all.sg, to heaven), the stem of which is hafurni (heaven).

Suffixaufnahme

One prominent feature of Hurrian is the phenomenon of <u>Suffixaufnahme</u>, or suffix absorption, which it shares with Urartian and the geographically proximate <u>Kartvelian languages</u>. In this process, the dependent modifiers of a noun share the noun's case suffixes. Between the suffix of the dependent noun and the case ending comes the article, which agrees with the referent in number, for example, with an adjective:

(1) hurwohheneš ōmīnneš

ħurw-oħħe-ne-šōmīn-ne-šHurrian-adj-art.sg-erg.sgland-art.sg-erg.sg

"the Hurrian land"

Suffixaufnahme also occurs with other modifiers, such as a noun in the genitive modifying another noun, in which case the following nouns takes a possessive pronoun.

(2) šēniffufenefe ōmīnīfe

The phenomenon is also found when the head noun is in the locative, instrumental or equative. In the absolutive singular, Suffixaufnahme would be meaningless, as the case and number are unmarked. When more than two genitives occur, they are merged, so Suffixaufnahme only occurs on the innermost genitive, as in the following example:

(3) ōmīni Mizrinefenefe efrīfe aštīnna

ōmīni Mizri-ne-fe-ne-fe efri-i-fe ašti-i=nna country Egypt-art.sg-gen.sg ruler-its-gen.sg lady-his=she "she is the lady of the ruler of the country Egypt"

Verbal morphology

The verbal morphology of Hurrian is extremely complex, but it is constructed only through the affixation of suffixes (indicated by '-') and clitics (indicated by '-'). Hurrian clitics stand for unique words, but are attached to other words as though they were suffixes. Transitivity and intransitivity are clearly indicated in the morphology; only transitive verbs take endings that agree with the person and number of their subject. The direct object and intransitive subject, when they are not represented by an independent noun, are expressed through the use of clitics, or pronouns (see below). Moreover, suffixes can be added to the verb stem that modify its meaning, including valency-changing morphemes such as -an(n)-- (causative), -ant (applicative) and -ukar (reciprocative). The meanings of many such suffixes have yet to be decoded.

The "morpheme chain" of the verb is as follows: [13]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Root	Derivational Suffixes	Tense/Aspect	Non- Present Intransitive		Valency e 3PL -it-	Negation	Ergative Person	Ergative Number	Absolutive Pronoun Clitics	Enclitic Particles & Conjunctions
				(OH)						

As with the noun, not all of these elements must be present in each verb form, and indeed some of them are mutually incompatible. The marker -t- in position (4) may indicate intransitivity in non-present tenses. Position (5) may carry the suffix -imbu- (5) of unclear function or the ergative third-person plural suffix -it-, which is only attested in Old Hurrian. [14] Valency suffixes (6) indicated the intransitive, transitive, or antipassive. The negative suffixes (7), the ergative person suffixes (8), and the ergative number suffixes (9) merge in ways which are not entirely predictable, so the person endings are usually listed in separate singular and plural versions.

Indicative mood

After the derivational suffix come those marking tense. The present tense is unmarked, the preterite is marked by $-\bar{o}\check{s}$ and the future by $\bar{c}t$. The preterite and future suffixes also include the suffix -t, which indicates intransitivity, but occurs only in truly intransitive forms, not in antipassive ones; in the present, this suffix never occurs. Another, separate, -t suffix is found in all tenses in transitive sentences - it indicates a 3rd person plural subject. In the indicative this suffix is mandatory, but in all other moods it is optional. Because these two suffixes are identical, ambiguous forms can occur; thus, $un\bar{c}ta$ can mean "they will bring [something]" or "he/she/it will come", depending on the context.

After these endings come the vowel of transitivity. It is -a when the verb is intransitive, -i when the verb is in the antipassive and -o (in the Mitanni letter, -i) in transitive verbs. The suffix -o is dropped immediately after the derivational suffixes. In transitive verbs, the -o occurs only in the present, while in the other tenses transitivity is instead indicated by the presence (or absence) of the aforementioned -t suffixes.

In the next position, the suffix of negation can occur; in transitive sentences, it is -wa, whereas in intransitive and antipassive ones it is -kkV. Here, the V represents a repetition of the vowel that precedes the negative suffix, although when this is /a/, both vowels become /o/. When the negative suffix is immediately followed by a clitic pronoun (except for =nna), its vowel is /a/, regardless of the vowel that preceded it, e.g. mann-o-kka=til=an (be-intr-neg-1.pl.abs-and), "and we are not...". The following table gives the tense, transitivity and negation markers:

Transitivity		Present	Preterite	Future
intransitive	affirmative	-a	-ōšta	-ētta
intranstitve	negative	-okko	-ōštokko	-ēttokko
	affirmative	-i	-ōši	-ēti
antipassive	negative	-ikki	-ōšikki	-ētikki
transitive	affirmative	Mari/Hattusha -o Mitanni -i	Mari/Hattusha -ōšo Mitanni -ōši	Mari/Hattusha -ēto Mitanni -ēti
without derivational suff.	negative	Mari/Hattusha -owa Mitanni -iwa	Mari/Hattusha -ōšowa Mitanni -ōšiwa	Mari/Hattusha -ētowa Mitanni -ētiwa
transitive with derivational suff.	affirmative	-Ø	Mari/Hattusha -ōšo Mitanni -ōši	Mari/Hattusha <i>-ēto</i> Mitanni <i>-ēti</i>
	negative	-wa	Mari/Hattusha -ōšowa Mitanni -ōšiwa	Mari/Hattusha -ētowa Mitanni -ētiwa

After this, in transitive verbs, comes the subject marker. The following forms are found:

	1st person singular	1st person plural	2nd person singular	2nd person plural	3rd person sing/pl
with -i (transitive) (only Mitanni)	-af, -au	-auša	-i-o	-*aššo, -*aššu	-i-a
with -wa (negated)	-uffu	-uffuš(a)	-wa-o	-uššu	-wa-a
with other morphemes (no merging)	af, au	auša	0	aššo, aššu	a

The suffixes of the first person, both plural and singular, and the second person plural suffix merge with the preceding suffixes -i and -wa. However, in the Mari and Hattusha dialects, the suffix of transitivity -o does not merge with other endings. The distinction between singular and plural in the third person is provided by the suffix -t, which comes directly after the tense marker. In the third person, when the suffix -wa occurs before the subject marker, it can be replaced by -ma, also expressing the negative: irnōhoš-i-ā-ma, (like-trans-3rd-neq) "He does not like [it]".

In the Old Hurrian of Hattusha the ending of the third person singular was -m. A third person plural ergative subject was marked with the suffix -it-, which, however, unlike the other ergative endings, occurred *before* instead of *after* the transitivity vowel: contrast uv-o-m "she slaughtered" with tun-it-o "they forced". [14][15][16] In the intransitive and antipassive, there was also a subject marker, -p for the third person but unmarked for the others. It is unknown whether this suffix was also found on transitive objects.

If a verb form is nominalised, e.g. to create a <u>relative clause</u>, then another suffix is used: -šše. Nominalised verbs can undergo Suffixaufnahme. Verb forms can also take other enclitic suffixes; see 'particles' below.

Other moods

To express nuances of grammatical mood, several special verb forms are used, which are derived from the indicative (non-modal) forms. Wishes and commands are formed with an <u>optative</u> system, whose principal characteristic is the element -i, which is attached directly to the verb stem. There is no difference between the form for transitive and intransitive verbs, there being agreement with the subject of the sentence. Tense markers are unchanged in the optative.

Person/Number	Negation	Ending	Meaning
1st person	affirmative	-ile, after /l/ or /r/, -le and -re	"I want to"
Singular	negative	-ifalli	"I do not want to"
1st person Plural	unattested		
2nd person	affirmative	-i, -e	"you will (imperative)
Singular	negative	-ifa, -efa	"you will not"
2nd person	affirmative	-i(š), -e(š)	"you will"
Plural	negative	-ifa(š), -efa(š)	"you will not"
3rd person	affirmative	-ien ¹	"he/she/it can"
Singular	negative	-ifaen ¹	"he/she/it cannot"
3rd person	affirmative	-iten ¹	"may they"
Plural	negative	-itfaen ¹	"may they not"

¹ In the optative forms of the third person, the /n/ ending is present in the Mari/Hattuša dialect when the following word begins with a consonant.

The so-called final form, which is needed to express a purpose ("in order to"), is formed in conjunction with the 'with', and has different endings. In the singular, the suffixes -ae, -ai, -ilae and -ilai are found, which after /l/ and /r/ become -lae/-lai and -rae/rai respectively. In the plural the same endings are used, though sometimes the plural suffix -ša is found as well, but this is not always the case.

To express a possibility, the potential form must be used. For intransitive verbs, the ending is -ilefa or olefa (-lefa and -refa after /l,r/), which does not need to agree with the subject. Transitive potential forms are formed with -illet and -allet, which are suffixed to the normal endings of the transitive indicative forms. However, this form is only attested in Mitanni and only in the third person. The potential form is also occasionally used to express a wish.

The desiderative form is used to express an urgent request. It is also only found in the third person, and only with transitive verbs. The ending for the third person singular is *-ilanni*, and for the plural, *-itanni*.

Examples of finite verb forms

The following tables give examples of verb forms in various syntactic environments, largely from the Mitanni letter:

Ex.	Form	Gloss	Translation
(4)	koz-ōš-o	restrain-pret-2.sg	"You restrained"
(5)	pal-i-a-mā-šše=mān	know-trans-3rd-neg-nom=but	", but which he doesn't know"
(6)	pašš-ēt-i=t=ān šeniffuta	send-fut-antipass=1.sg.abs=and to.my.brother	"and I will send to my brother"
(7)	tiwēna tān-ōš-au-šše-na-Ø	the.things do-pret-1.sg-nom-art.pl-abs	"the things I've done"
(8)	ūr-i-uffu=nna=ān	want-trans-neg+1.sg=3.pl.abs=and	"and I don't want it"
(9)	itt-ōš-t-a	go-pret-intr-intr	"I went, you went,"
(10)	kul-le	say-opt.1.sg	"I want to say"
(11)	pašš-ien	send-opt.3.sg	"may he send"
(12)	pal-lae=n	know-final-3sg.abs	"so he knows"
(13)	kepānol-lefa=tta=ān	send-pot=1.sg.abs=and	"and I might send"

Infinitive verb forms

Infinitive forms of the verb in Hurrian include both nominalised verbs (participles) and a more conventional infinitive. The first nominalised participle, the present participle, is characterised by the ending -iri or -ire, e.g. pairi, "the one building, the builder", hapiri, "the one moving, the nomad". The second nominalised participle, the perfect participle, is formed with the ending -aure, and is only attested once, in Nuzi: hušaure, "the bound one". Another special form is only found in the dialect of Hattusha. It can only be formed from transitive verbs, and it specifies an agent of the first person. Its ending is -ilia, and this participle can undergo Suffixaufnahme.

(14) pailianeš šuḫnineš

pa-ilia-ne-š šuḫni-ne-š build-I.pret.part-art.sg-erg.sg wall-art.sg-erg.sg

"the wall built by me" (here in the ergative, so a subject of a transitive verb)

The infinitive, which can also be found nominalised, is formed with the suffix -umme, e.g. fahrumme, "to be good", "the state/property of being good"

Pronouns

Personal pronouns

Hurrian uses both enclitic and independent personal pronouns. The independent pronouns can occur in any case, whereas the enclitic ones represent only the absolutive. It is irrelevant to the meaning of the sentence to which word in the sentence the enclitic pronoun is attached, so it is often attached either to the first phrase or to the verb. The following table gives the attested forms of the personal pronouns, omitting those that cannot be determined.

Case	1st Singular	2nd Singular (you)	3rd Singular (he/she/it)	1st Plural (we)	2nd Plural (you)	3rd Plural (they)
Absolutive (indep.)	ište	fe	mane, manni	šattil, šattitil(la)	fella	manella
Absolutive (enclit.)	-t(ta)	-m(ma)	-n(na), -me, -ma	-til(la)	-f(fa)	-l(la), -lle
Ergative	išaš	feš	manuš	šieš	fešuš	manšoš
Genitive	šofe	fefe			feše	
Dative	šofa	fefa		šaša (?)	feša	manša
Locative					feša (?)	
Allative	šuta			šašuta (?)		
Ablative			manutan			
Comitative	šura		manura			manšura, manšora
Equative II	šonna		manunna			

The variant forms -me, -ma and -lle of the third person absolutive pronouns only before certain conjunctions, namely ai (when), inna (w

Possessive pronouns

The Hurrian possessive pronouns cannot occur independently, but are only enclitic. They are attached to nouns or nominalised verbs. The form of the pronoun is dependent on that of the following morpheme. The table below outlines the possible forms:

Fall	1st Singular (my)	2nd Singular (your)	3rd Singular (his/her/its)	1st Plural (our)	2nd Plural (your)	3rd Plural (their)	
word-finally	-iffe	-f	-i	-iffaš	-šše	-yaš	
before consonants (except /f,w/)	-iffu	-fu	-i	-iffaš	-šu	-yaš	
before vowels and /f,w/	-iff	-f	-i	-iffaš	n. bel.	-yaš	

The final vowel of the noun stem is dropped before an attached possessive pronoun, e.g. *šeniffe* ("my brother", from *šena* "brother"). It remains, however, when a consonant-initial pronoun is attached: *attaif* ("your father", from *attai*, "father")

Other pronouns

Hurrian also has several <u>demonstrative pronouns</u>: *anni* (this), *anti/ani* (that), *akki...aki* (one...the other). The final vowel /i/ of these pronouns is retained only in the absolutive, becoming /u/ in all other cases, e.g. *akkuš* "the one" (erg.), *antufa* ("to that [one]"). There are also the relative pronouns *iya* and *iye*. Both forms are free interchangeable. The pronoun has the function of the absolutive in the relative clause, and so represents an intransitive subject or a transitive object. The interrogative pronoun (who/what) is only attested in the ergative singular (*afeš*), and once in the absolutive singular (*au*).

Adpositions

Hurrian contains many expressions that denote spatial and abstract relations and serve as <u>adpositions</u>, most of them built on the dative and genitive cases. They are almost exclusively postpositions – only one preposition ($\bar{a}pi$ + dative, "for"), is attested in the texts from Hattusha. All adpositions can themselves generally be in the allative, rarely in the dative or in the "e-case".

Some examples: *N-fa āyita* or *N-fenē āyē* (in the presence of; from *āyi* "face"). *N-fa etīta* or *N-fa etīfa* (for, because of; from *eti* "body, person"), *N-fenē etiyē* (concerning), *N-fa furīta* (in sight of; from *furi*, "sight, look"), and only in Hattusha *N-fa āpita* (in front of; from *āpi*, "front"). Besides these, there is *ištani* "space between," which is used with a plural possessive pronoun and the locative, for "between us/you/them", e.g. *ištaniffaša* (between us, under us).

Conjunctions and adverbs

Only a few sentence-initial <u>particles</u> are attested. In contract with nouns, which also end in /i/, the final vowel of the conjunctions *ai* (when) and *anammi* (therefore) is not dropped before an enclitic personal pronoun. Other conjunctions include *alaše* (if), *inna* (when), *inu* (like) and *panu* (although). Hurrian has only a small amount of adverbs. The temporal adverbs are *henni* (now), *kuru* (again) and *unto* (then). Also attested are *atī* (thus, so) and *tiššan* (very).

Enclitic particles

The enclitic particles can be attached to any word in a sentence, but most often they are attached to the first phrase of the sentence or to the verb. They are much more diverse and frequent in the Mitanni letter than in Old Hurrian. Common ones include $=\bar{a}n$ (and), $=m\bar{a}n$ (but), =mmaman (to be sure) and $=n\bar{i}n$ (truly!).

(15) atīnīn mānnattamān atī=nīn mānn-a=tta=mān so=truly be-intr=1.sg.abs=but "But I really am thus"

Numbers

In addition to the irregular number word \check{sui} (every), all the <u>cardinal numbers</u> from 1 to 10 as well as a few higher ones are attested. <u>Ordinal numbers</u> are formed with the suffix -(\check{s}) \check{se} or \check{si} , which becomes -ze or -zi after /n/. The following table gives an overview of the numeral system:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	13 or 30	17 or 70	18 or 80	10000
Cardinal number	šukko, šuki	šini	kike	tumni	nariya	šeše	šinti	kiri, kira	tamri	ēmani	kikmani	šintimani	kirmani	nupi
Ordinal number	unattested	šinzi	kiški	tumnušše	narišše	unattested	šintišše	unattested	unattested	ēmanze	unattested	unattested	kirmanze	unattested

Distributive numbers carry the suffix -ate, e.g. kikate (by threes), tumnate (by fours). The suffix -āmha denotes multiplicatives, e.g. šināmha (twice), ēmanāmha (thrice). All cardinal numbers end in a vowel, which drops when an enclitic is attached.

Syntax

The normal word order of a Hurrian sentence is <u>SOV</u>. Within <u>noun phrases</u>, the noun regularly comes at the end. Adjectives, numbers, and genitive modifiers come before the noun they modify. <u>Relative clauses</u>, however, tend to surround the noun, which means that the noun the relative clause modifies stands in the middle of the relative clause. Hurrian has at its disposal several paradigms for constructing relative clauses. It can either use the relative pronouns *iya* and *iye*, which has already been described under 'pronouns' above, or the nominalising suffix -šše attached to a verb, which undergoes Suffixaufnahme. The third possibility is for both these markers to occur (see example 16 below). The noun, which is represented by the relative clause, can take any case, but within the relative clause can only have the function of the absolutive, i.e. it can only be the subject of an intransitive relative clause or the object of a transitive one.

(16) iyallānīn šēniffuš tiwēna tānōšāššena

iya=llā=nīn šēn-iffu-š tiwē-na-Ø tān-ōš-ā-šše-na-Ø rel.pron=3.pl.abs=truly brother-my-erg.sg object-art.pl-abs send-pret-3.sg.subj-nom-art.pl-abs "those, which my brother sent"

As has been outlined above, Hurrian transitive verbs normally take a subject in the ergative and an object in the absolutive (except for the antipassive constructions, where these are replaced by the absolutive and the essive respectively). The indirect object of ditransitive verbs, however, can be in the dative, locative, allative, or with some verbs also in the absolutive.

(17) olaffa katulle

ola-Ø=ffa katul-le
other-abs=2.pl.abs say-opt.1.sg
'I want to tell you_{abs} something else_{abs}"

Vocabulary

The attested Hurrian lexicon is quite homogenous, containing only a small number of <u>loanwords</u> (e.g. *tuppi* (clay tablet), *Mizri* (Egypt) both from <u>Akkadian</u>). The relative pronouns *iya* and *iye* may be a loan from the <u>Indo-Aryan</u> language of the Mitanni people who had lived in the region before the Hurrians; cf. <u>Sanskrit</u> *ya*. Conversely, Hurrian gave many loan words to the nearby Akkadian dialects, for example *hāpiru* (nomad) from the Hurrian *hāpiri* (nomad). There may also be Hurrian loanwords among the <u>languages</u> of the Caucasus, but this cannot be verified, as there are no written records of Caucasian languages from the time of the Hurrians. The source language of similar sounding words is thus unconfirmable.

Sample text

Untomān iyallēnīn tiwēna šūallamān šēniffuš katōšāššena ūriāššena, antillān ēmanām ha tānōšau. (aus dem Mitanni-Brief, Kolumne IV, Zeilen 30-32)

Word in morphemes	Grammatical analysis
unto=mān	now = but
iya=llē=nīn	relative.pronoun = 3.plural.absolutive = truly
tiwē-na-Ø	thing-article.plural-absolutive
šū-a=lla=mān	every-locative=3.plural.absolutive=but
šēn-iffu-š	brother-my-ergative.singular
kat-ōš-ā-šše-na-Ø	say-preterite.transitive-3.singular.subject-nominaliser-article.plural-absolutive
ūr-i-ā-šše-na-Ø	want-transitive-3.singular.subject-nominaliser-article.plural-absolutive
anti=lla=an	those=plural.absolutive=and
ēman-āmḫa	ten-multiplicative
tān-ōš-au	do-preterite.transitive-1.singular.subject

Translation: "Those things, which my brother truly said and wanted as a whole, now I have done them, but tenfold."

Hurrian literature

Texts in the Hurrian language itself have been found at <u>Hattusa</u>, <u>Ugarit</u> (Ras Shamra), and <u>Sapinuwa</u> (but unpublished). Also, one of the longest of the <u>Amarna</u> <u>letters</u> is Hurrian; written by King <u>Tushratta</u> of Mitanni to Pharaoh <u>Amenhotep III</u>. It was the only long Hurrian text known until a multi-tablet collection of literature in Hurrian with a Hittite translation was discovered at Hattusas in 1983.

Important finds were made at Ortaköy (Sapinuwa) in the 1990s, including several bilinguals. Most of them remain unedited as of 2007.

No Hurrian texts are attested from the first millennium BC (unless considering Urartian a late Hurrian dialect), but scattered loanwords persist in Assyrian, such as the goddess *Savuska* mentioned by <u>Sargon II</u>.^[17]

See also

■ Indo-Aryan superstrate in Mitanni

References

- Hammarström, Harald; Forkel, Robert; Haspelmath, Martin, eds. (2017). "Hurrian" (http://glottolog.org/resource/languoid/id/hurr1240). Glottolog 3.0. Jena, Germany: Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History.
- Hurrian language Britannica Online Encyclopedia (http://www.brita nnica.com/eb/article-9041610/Hurrian-language)
- 3. p. 205. Kassian, Alexei. Review of *The Indo-European Elements in Hurrian. Journal of Language Relationship* Вопросы языкового родства 4 (2010) Pp. 199–211.
- Wilhelm, Gernot (2008). "Hurrian". In Woodard, Roger D. (ed.). The Ancient Languages of Asia Minor. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 81–104.
- Iselin, Claire; André-Salvini, Béatrice. "A Hurrian foundation deposit known as the "Urkish Lion" " (http://www.louvre.fr/en/oeuvre-notices/ hurrian-foundation-deposit-known-urkish-lion). Musée du Louvre. Retrieved 2 December 2012.
- 6. "Royal inscriptions" (http://urkesh.org/pages/571.htm). urkesh.org.

- Wilhelm, Gernot. 2008. Hurrian. In Woodard, Roger D. (ed.) The Ancient Languages of Asia Minor. P.85
- 8. Wegner, I. 2000. Einführung in die hurritische Sprache. P.46-65
- 9. Wilhelm, Gernot. 2008. Hurrian. In Woodard, Roger D. (ed.) The Ancient Languages of Asia Minor. P.88
- Wilhelm, Gernot. 2008. Hurrian. In Woodard, Roger D. (ed.) The Ancient Languages of Asia Minor. P.94
- 11. Wegner, I. 2000. Einführung in die hurritische Sprache. P.56-57
- 12. Wegner, I. 2000. Einführung in die hurritische Sprache. P.54-55
- 13. Wegner, I. 2000. Einführung in die hurritische Sprache. P.75-79
- 14. Wegner, I. 2000. Einführung in die hurritische Sprache. P.110-113
- 15. Wilhelm, Gernot. 2008. Hurrian. In Woodard, Roger D. (ed.) The Ancient Languages of Asia Minor. P.98
- 16. Дьяконов И. М. Языки древней Передней Азии. Издательство Наука, Москва. 1967. Igor Diakonoff cites the suffix as -ido-, but also located it before the slot of the transitivity vowel -o- an interpretation which is also justified by the place of the corresponding suffix in the related Urartian language.

Further reading

- Laroche, Emmanuel (1980). Gloassaire de la langue Hourrite. (Revue hittite et asianique, vols. 34/35) (in French). Paris: Éditions Klincksieck.
- Speiser, E. A. (1941). *Introduction to Hurrian*. The Annual of the American Schools of Oriental Research. Vol. 20. New Haven: The American Schools of Oriental Research.
- Wegner, I., Hurritisch, eine Einführung, Harassowitz (2000), ISBN 3-447-04262-1.

External links

Hurrian basic lexicon at the Global Lexicostatistical Database (http://starling.rinet.ru/cgi-bin/response.cgi?root=new100&morpho=0&basename=new100\hur\hur&limit=-1)

 $Retrieved\ from\ "\underline{https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hurrian_language\&oldid=966172368"$

This page was last edited on 5 July 2020, at 14:22 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the <u>Terms of Use</u> and <u>Privacy Policy</u>. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the <u>Wikimedia Foundation</u>, Inc., a non-profit organization.